Lesson1 This document is for use in eTOC training sessions, use outside of eTOC is strictly prohibited.

Type B 日本語訳なしスマホの方は横にしてご覧下さい。日本語付はスクロールダウンするとございます

2[A] - Unexpected Economics Lesson1 G1 Chobun TypeB G1 11-1

- Over the years, economics have developed an arsenal of mathematical formulas to
- 2. predict and analyze the factors that influence economic behavior.
- 3. The traditional premise of such calculations is that each person has a motive, namely (26), which encourages them to make a certain choice or take a particular course of action as means to further their own interests.
- 4. In their popular book, *Freakonomics*, journalist Stephen Dubner and economist
- 5. Steven Levitt attempt to broaden the traditional scope of economics by analyzing
- 6 behavior that appears not to hinge on financial incentives alone.
- 7. \*Choose the correct answer to fill in the blank from these choices.
- 8. (26) 1 an instinct to avoid conflict 2 a need for acceptance
- 9. **3** the attainment of knowledge **4** the desire for money

## Further Questions& Sample Answers

10. 1) What is the traditional premise of economics?

- USE & PRINTING outside of oTOC and
- 11. The traditional premise is that each person is motivated by the desire for money.
- 12. 2) What did Stephen Dubner and Steven Levitt analyze in Freakonomics?
- 13. They analyzed behavior that appears not to hinge on financial incentives alone.
- 14. As an example, Dubner and Levitt relate the story of an Israeli day-care center
- 15. that took measures to combat the problem of parents picking up their children
- 16. late. The day-care center instituted a fine equivalent to \$3 for every incident of
- 17. lateness by a child's parents. As a result, the number of late pickups ( 27 ).
- 18. Furthermore, once the fine was removed a few months later, late pickups did not
- 19. return to their pre-fine levels, but remained at the levels brought about by the
- 20. fine. Dubner and Levitt wanted to know why the policy, which was designed to
- 21. provide an incentive for parents to be <u>on time</u>, had failed to achieve its <u>desired</u> 22. effect.
- 23. \*Choose the correct answer to fill in the blank from these choices.
- 24. (27) 1 increased significantly 2 dropped to almost zero
- 25. 3 became difficult to record 4 showed no change overall

# Further Questions Sample Answers

- 26. 3) What did the Israeli day-care center do to try to get parents to pick up their children on time?
- 27. They instituted a fine of \$3 for every incident of lateness by a child's parents.
- 28. 4) Did parents pick their children up on time once the fine was instituted?
- 29. No, the number of incidents of lateness by children's parents significantly increased.
- 30. 5) After the fine was removed, what happened?
- 31. Once the fine was removed, late pickups did not return to their pre-fine levels.
- 33. Their conclusion was that the fine itself was a relatively trivial amount to pay for lateness. Moreover, and perhaps more significantly, it also ( 28 ) replacing a moral incentive with a financial one.

- 34. The more incentive, caused by the guilt parents felt about not picking up their children on time, was taken away once the day-care center introduced the fine.
- Parents entered the mindset of having "paid their dues," so that even after the removal of the fine, they continued to, in the words of Dubner and Levitt, "arrive late, pay no fine, and feel no guilt." Traditional economic calculations, then, failed to account for the parents' behavior. Dubner and Levitt believe that the analysis of social and moral behavior in economics, while it may pose a challenge to traditional assumptions, is vital as the incentives behind such behavior "are the cornerstone of modern life."
- 36. \*Choose the correct answer to fill in the blank from these choices.
- 37. (28) 1 had the consequence of 2 removed the possibility of
  - 3 discouraged parents from 4 prevented the day-care center from

# Further Questions & Sample Answers

- 39. 6) What did the fine do to the moral incentive of parents picking up their children on time?
- 40. It replaced the moral incentive with a financial one.
- 41. 7) What does the term "paid their dues" mean in this paragraph?
- 42. It means that they have already been punished for being late, so they owed nothing more.
- 43. 8) Why do Dubner and Levitt believe analysis of social and moral behavior is important in economics?
- 44. While it may pose a challenge to traditional assumptions,
- 45.\_it is vita as the incentives behind such behavior "are the cornerstone of modern life."

## Review Questions

38.



- 46. 1) What is the traditional premise of economics?
  - The traditional premise is that each person is motivated by the desire for money.
- 47. 2) What did Stephen Dubner and Steven Levitt analyze in *Freakonomics*? They analyze behavior that appears not to hinge on financial incentives alone.
- 48. 3) What did the Israeli day-care center do to try to get parents to pick up their children on time?
  - They instituted a fine of \$3 for every incident of lateness by a child's parents.
- 49. 4) Did parents pick their children up on time once the fine was instituted? No, the number of incidents of lateness by children's parents significantly increased.
- 50. 5) After the fine was removed, what happened?
  - Once the fine was removed, late pickups did not return to their pre-fine levels.
- 51. 6) What did the fine do to the moral incentive of parents picking up their children on time?
  - It replaced the moral incentive with a financial one.

- 52. 7) What does the term "paid their dues" mean in this paragraph?

  It means that they have already been punished for being late, so they owed nothing more.
- 53. 8) Why do Dubner and Levitt believe analysis of social and moral behavior is important in economics?

While it may pose a challenge to traditional assumptions, it is vital as the incentives behind such behavior "are the cornerstone of modern life."



解答: (26) 4 (27) 1 (28) 1

#### Not for use outside Flex English Community

#### 日本語訳付

2[A] — <u>Unexpected Economics</u> Lesson1 G1 Chobun dokkai

G1 11-1

- 進 (すす) めてきた 蓄積 (ちくせき) 54. Over the years, economics have developed an arsenal of
  - 数式 (すうしき) 予測 (よそく) する 分析 (ぶんせき) する 要因 (よういん) mathematical formulas to predict and analyze the factors that

影響 (えいきょう) を与える 経済 (けいざい) の 動 (うご) き influence economic behavior.

前提(ぜんてい) 予測(よそく)

動機(どうき)

- - namely (26), which encourages them to make a certain choice 行動(こうどう) でするための手段(しゅだん)として でを深(ふか)める or take a particular course of action as means to further their own

or take a particular course of action as means to further their own interests.

- 56. In their popular book, Freakonomics, journalist Stephen Dubner and
  - 経済学者 (けいざいがくしゃ) ~しようとしている 広 (ひろ) げる 範囲 (はんい) economist Steven Levitt attempt to broaden the traditional scope of ~に左右 (さゆう) される 金銭的 (きんせんてき) な economics by analyzing behavior that appears not to hinge on financial

incentives alone.

- 57. \*Choose the correct answer to fill in the blank from these choices.
  - 本能(ほんのう) ~を避(さ)ける 争(あらそ)い

承諾(しょうだく)

- 58. (26) 1 an instinct to avoid conflict 2 a need for acceptance 熱望 (ねつぼう)
- 59. 3 the attainment of knowledge 4 the desire for money

# Further Questions& Sample Answers

- 60. 1) What is the traditional premise of economics?
- 61. 経済学で従来、前提となってきたことは何ですか。

The traditional premise is that each person is motivated by the desire for money.

- 62. 2) What did Stephen Dubner and Steven Levitt analyze in Freakonomics?
- **63.** スティーブン・ダブナーとスティーブン・レヴィットが「Freakonomics」という革の逆で分析した事はどんな事ですか。 *They analyzed behavior that appears not to hinge on financial incentives alone.*

説明(せつめい)している イスラエルの

64. As an example, Dubner and Levitt relate the story of an Israeli  $\frac{\text{Rfl}(\text{Ein} < \lambda \lambda)}{\text{Rfl}(\text{Ein} < \lambda \lambda)}$   $\frac{\text{day-care center}}{\text{that took measures}}$  to  $\frac{\text{combat}}{\text{combat}}$  the problem of parents  $\frac{\text{day-care}}{\text{day-care}}$  ( $\frac{\text{combat}}{\text{combat}}$   $\frac{\text$ 

picking up their children late.

- Lesson1 This document is for use in eTOC training sessions, use outside of eTOC is strictly prohibited. 設 (もう) けた 罰金 (ばっきん) ~に相当(そうとう)する 出来事(できごと)
- 65. The day-care center instituted a fine equivalent to 3 for every incident of lateness by a child's parents. As a result, the number of late pickups (27).

解除(かいじょ)された

- 66. Furthermore, once the fine was removed a few months later, late pickups did not return to their pre-fine levels, but remained at the levels brought about by the fine.
- 67. Dubner and Levitt wanted to know why the policy, which was designed to reflicted an incentive for parents to be with ward of the man incentive for parents with the
- 68. \*Choose the correct answer to fill in the blank from these choices.
- 69. (27) 1 increased significantly

さらに

- 2 dropped to almost zero
- became difficult to record 4 showed no change overall

# Further Questions & Sample Answers

- 71. 3) What did the Israeli day-care center do to try to get parents to pick up their children on time?
- 72. 親が自分の字供を時間通りに迎えにくるように、イスラエルの保育園が行ったことは荷ですか。

  They instituted a fine of \$3 for every incident of lateness by a child's parents.
- 73. 4) Did parents pick their children up on time once the fine was instituted?
- 74. 罰金が設けられると、親たちは自分の子供を時間通りに迎えにくるようになりましたか。
  No, the number of incidents of lateness by children's parents significantly increased.
- 75. 5) After the fine was removed, what happened?罰釜が解除されたあと、どうなりましたか。
  Once the fine was removed, late pickups did not return to their pre-fine levels.
- 76. Their conclusion was that the fine itself was a relatively trivial amount to pay for lateness.
- するらに おそらく 重要 (じゅうよう) なことには 取 (と) って代 (か) わっている 77. Moreover, and perhaps more significantly , it also ( 28 ) replacing a moral incentive with a financial one.
- 78. The more incentive, caused by the guilt parents felt about not picking up their children on time, was taken away once the day-care center introduced the fine.
- 79. Parents entered the mindset of having "paid their dues," so that even after the removal of the fine, they continued to, in the words of Dubner and Levitt, "arrive late, pay no fine, and feel no guilt."
- 80. Traditional economic calculations, then, failed to <u>account for</u> the parents' behavior.

- 81. Dubner and Levitt believe that the analysis of social and moral behavior in economics, while it may pose a challenge to traditional assumptions, is #常 (ひじょう) に重要 (じゅうよう) な vital as the incentives behind such behavior "are the cornerstone"
- 82. \*Choose the correct answer to fill in the blank from these choices.
- 精論 (けつろん) 取(と) り除(のぞ) いた 可能性(かのうせい) 83. (28) 1 had the consequence of 2 removed the possibility of
  - Eq. (20) Lt by (set) vit
    - 3 discouraged parents from 4 prevented the day-care center from

### Further Questions& Sample Answers

- 85. 6) What did the fine do to the moral incentive of parents picking up their children on time?
- 86. 罰金は、親が子供たちを時間通りに迎えにくるという道徳上の動機に対してどう作用しましたか。 It replaced the moral incentive with a financial one.
- 87. 7) What does the term "paid their dues" mean in this paragraph?
- 88. このパラグラフにおいて、"paid their dues"とはどういう意味ですか。

  It means that they have already been punished for being late, so they owed nothing more.
- 89. 8) Why do Dubner and Levitt believe analysis of social and moral behavior is important in economics?
- 90. ダブナーとレヴィットが、経済学において社会的で道徳的な行動の分析が重要だと信じているのはなぜですか。 While it may pose a challenge to traditional assumptions, it is vita as the incentives behind such behavior "are the cornerstone of modern life."

## Review Questions

of modern life."



- 91. 1) What is the traditional premise of economics?
  - The traditional premise is that each person is motivated by the desire for money.
- 92. 2) What did Stephen Dubner and Steven Levitt analyze in *Freakonomics*?

  They analyze behavior that appears not to hinge on financial incentives alone.
- 93. 3) What did the Israeli day-care center do to try to get parents to pick up their children on time?
  - They instituted a fine of \$3 for every incident of lateness by a child's parents.
- 94. 4) Did parents pick their children up on time once the fine was instituted? No, the number of incidents of lateness by children's parents significantly increased.
- 95. 5) After the fine was removed, what happened?
  - Once the fine was removed, late pickups did not return to their pre-fine levels.
- 96. 6) What did the fine do to the moral incentive of parents picking up their children on time?
  - It replaced the moral incentive with a financial one.
- 97. 7) What does the term "paid their dues" mean in this paragraph? It means that they have already been punished for being late, so they owed nothing more.

98. 8) Why do Dubner and Levitt believe analysis of social and moral behavior is important in economics?

While it may pose a challenge to traditional assumptions, it is vital as the incentives behind such behavior "are the cornerstone of modern life."



解答: (26) 4 (27) 1 (28) 1

Not for use outside Flex English Community